

& Immunity

\* 

## Pharmacokinetics of 100/25 mg lopinavir/ritonavir tablets in children when dosed twice daily according to FDA weight bands

DET Bastiaans, S Forcat, HEG Lyall, TR Cressey, S Chalermpantmetagul, Y Saïdi, T Noguera, C Fortuny, A Compagnucci, J Bleier, C Giaquinto, EP Colbers, DM Burger



41

on behalf of PENTA KONCERT Study Group Correspondence to: d.bastiaans@akf.umcn.nl

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

EuroCoord

- Lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r) is recommended by European and US guidelines as part of cART for HIV-infected children.
- Half strength tablets (LPV/r 100/25 mg, Kaletra®/Aluvia®) are approved by the FDA and EMA. LPV/r dosing is based on body weight bands under FDA approval, and body surface area by the EMA. This can lead to differences in number of tablets recommended for some children, and is undesirable from a global access perspective (see Table 1).
- Also, FDA recommended weight band dosing has been derived from pharmacokinetic modeling but not formally studied in the target population.

#### Table 1: EMA and FDA approved doses for LPV/r (not given with NNRTL for mprenavir or nelfinavir)

Eilia	(	,		,
Body Surface	Approx	Number of	Exposure	Exposure
Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	equivalence	tablets	twice-daily	twice-daily
	weight (kg)	twice-daily	(BSA) (mg/m <sup>2</sup> )	(weight) (mg/kg)
≥0.5 to <0.9	≥10 to <24	2	400 to 222	20 to 8
≥0.9 to <1.4	≥24 to <44	3	333 to 214	12.5 to 7
≥1.4	≥44	4	<286	<9

FDA				
Weight (kg)	Approx	Number of	Exposure	Exposure twice-
	equivalence	tablets	twice-daily	daily
	BSA (m <sup>2</sup> )	twice-daily	(BSA) (mg/m <sup>2</sup> )	(weight) (mg/kg)
≥15 to ≤25	≥0.65 to ≤0.92	2	308 to 217	13 to 8
>25 to ≤35	>0.92 to ≤1.2	3	326 to 250	12 to 9
>35	>1.2	4	<333	<11

#### 2. OBJECTIVES

- To investigate the pharmacokinetics of twice-daily LPV/r half strength formulation tablets dosed on weight band.
- To compare the pharmacokinetics with historical adult (1) and pediatric data (2).

#### 3. METHODS

- This PK study is part of the ongoing PENTA18 trial (KONCERT, ISRCTN02452400), in which children, with fully suppressed HIV viral load (<50 copies/mL) for more than 6 months, are randomized to receive LPV/r twice or once daily, according to FDA weight bands
- Full PK assessment of LPV/r was conducted before randomization while children were taking the half strength tablets twice daily. Samples were taken at t=0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12 hours after observed intake, with or without food. It was planned that the first 16 children enrolled in each weight band would participate in the PK study: 15-25kg (lower, 2 tablets); ≥25-35kg (middle, 3 tablets); >35kg (highest weight band, 4 tablets).
- LPV and RTV concentrations were determined by UPLC. The lower limit of quantification for LPV was 0.107 mg/L and for RTV 0.044 mg/L.
- PK parameters were calculated by non-compartmental analysis using WinNonLin version 5.3.

#### 4. RESULTS

- Fifty-one children were included into the PK study, of which 17, 16 and 18 children were enrolled in the 15-25kg, ≥25-35kg and >35kg weight bands, respectively. All children had evaluable PK.
- Twenty-two (43%) children were male and median age (interquartile range) was 10.8 (8.7-14.6) years. Twenty-eight children were from Asian origin, 14 black-African, 6 white, 2 mixed black/white and one had another ethnic origin.
- There were no significant differences in PK parameters between the weight bands (ANOVA, p>0.2).
- CL of LPV in the Asian children was significantly higher than in non-Asian children (independent samples t-test, p=0.01) (Figure 4a). Age was also significantly higher in Asian children (p=0.001) (Figure 3a). There were no significant differences in the other PK parameters between Asian and non-Asian children within each weight band (independent samples t-test, p>0.09) (Figure 3b and 4b).
- One child had a trough level below 1.0 mg/L (ie. 0.559 mg/L). The child was in the middle weight band and received a LPV dose of 11.5 mg/kg.

#### CONCLUSIONS 5

Lopinavir pharmacokinetic parameters were not significantly different between the FDA weight bands. Mean AUC and trough concentrations were higher than historical pediatric data of LPV/r solution and soft-gel capsules, and similar to adult data reported for tablets. Weight band based dosing recommendations provide adequate exposure when using the half strength tablets.

Geometric mean (95% CI)	n	lopinavir	ritonavir	
AUC <sub>0-12</sub> (h*mg/L)	51	107.1 (97.7,117.5)	6.00 (5.35,6.74)	
≥15 to ≤25kg >25 to ≤35kg >35kg p-value	17 16 18	104.1 (84.9,127.5) 116.9 (100.6,135.8) 101.9 (87.8,118.3) 0.442	5.67 (4.71,6.84)   6.75 (5.27,8.64)   5.71 (4.66,7.00)   0.400 0.400	
CL (L/(h*kg))	51	0.089 (0.080,0.099)	0.398 (0.346,0.457)	
≥15 to ≤25kg >25 to ≤35kg >35kg p-value	17 16 18	0.092 (0.078,0.109) 0.085 (0.071,0.100) 0.091 (0.077,0.107) 0.754	0.422 (0.358,0.497) 0.366 (0.281,0.477) 0.405 (0.325,0.506) 0.900	
C <sub>last</sub> (mg/L)	51	4.87 (3.97,5.97)	0.18 (0.15,0.22)	
≥15 to ≤25kg >25 to ≤35kg >35kg p-value	17 16 18	4.21 (3.07,5.78) 5.10 (3.53,7.36) 5.35 (4.03,7.11) 0.498	0.16 (0.12,0.20) 0.18 (0.14,0.24) 0.20 (0.13,0.30) 0.521	
C <sub>max</sub> (mg/L)	51	12.0 (11.0,13.2)	0.90 (0.78,1.03)	
≥15 to ≤25kg >25 to ≤35kg >35kg p-value	17 16 18	12.2 (10.4,14.5) 13.0 (11.4,14.8) 11.1 (9.9,12.4) 0.220	0.87 (0.71,1.06) 1.02 (0.76,1.35) 0.83 (0.70,0.98) 0.363	

Clearance (Cl/F/kg) = dose(mg)/(AUC<sub>0-12</sub>(h\*mg/L)\*body weight (kg))

Table 3: Reference data lopinavir (Summary of product characteristics)<sup>1</sup>

an +/- SD	Adult (400/100mg BID)	Pediatrics (230/57.5 mg/m <sup>2</sup> BID) (oral solution)
C <sub>0-12</sub> (mg*h/L)	113.2 +/- 60.5	72.6 +/- 31.1
(mg/L)	12.3 +/- 5.4	8.2 +/- 2.9
<sub>ah</sub> (mg/L)	8.1 +/- 5.7	3.4 +/- 2.1

1 http://www.emea.europa.eu/ema/

### Figure 1: Mean LPV concentrations by weight band

Me

AUC C<sub>max</sub>

Ctro



Figure 3a: mean LPV CL against age for total group, and grouped by ethnic origin











Network for Treatment of AIDS (PENTA) - funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under EuroCoord grant agreement nº 260694 with financial support for this trial from Abbott Labs

 Comparing Control Contrel Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control aïdi. MRC Clinical Trials Unit, UK: A Ba otalier Universitaire Necker, Paris : S.Blanche, A.Mo, Y.Wang (CIC Robert Debré Paris), L.Toumi (CIC Ro

<u>IIA Steering Committee</u> 1-P Abouker A taxes... fandersozu, M. Metado Peña, M. Marcynska, D. Nadal, L. Naver, Y. Naut, T. Sone, Y. Raut, T. Sone, S. Walker, Pietz, T. Janianz, TR Cressey, S. Dalemgantmetagu, P. Penoglida, S. Challer, R. Hussey, ravor, S. Moor, AS Walker, Pietz, T. Janianz, TR Cressey, S. Dalemgantmetagu, P. Penoglida, S. Challer, R. Hussey, Freidating aller, Aperingen Function Helds Ost, Burnar Aver, F. Baloga, L. Mackowa, S. Calles, Barat, Institute de Held Statistica aller, Aperingen Function, Helds Call, Burnar Aver, F. Baloga, L. Mackowa, G. Solas, Barat, Institute de Helds *Communication of the Statistica and Caller and Calle* ersity Fra de. A Wa LEne anamona, Nakomping Hospital: S Kanjanawanit, M Anathanawanich, P Somchai, T Namwong, S Peangta, S Chaista, D Chulima, S Poumsuk. Hell Yai Iospital, London: D Shingadia, J Rynn, M Clapson, K Parkes, L Spencer-Wakh, M Jagani. Evelina Children's Hospital, London: E Menson, R Cross, r. D Sharce. P Newland. Roval Infimary Bristol: J Bernatoniene A Finn. F Manvika. L Hutchison, K Stevenson. Heartlands Hospital Binningham: S Y

閉 JMC St Radboud 4<sup>th</sup> International Workshop on HIV Pediatrics 2012, July 20-21, Washington DC

Figure 2: Mean RTV concentrations

# by weight band



## Figure 3b: mean LPV AUC against age for total group, and grouped by ethnic origin